

Universität Hamburg



Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien

Andreas Hemmerich Bad Honnef 2016





BEC in a high finesse optical cavity

- recoil-resolved cavity sideband cooling
- in situ monitor for Bloch oscillations
- controlling matter wave superradiance
- open Dicke model
- Hubbard model with infinite range interaction

Theory Support

Hamburg University

Ludwig Mathey Reza Bakhtiari Michael Thorwart

Hamburg Cavity Team





Ludwig

Reza

Michael





Hans KesslerChristoph GeorgesJosé Vargas RocoJens KlinderPhD Sep 2015PhD studentPhD studentPhD Dec 2015



finesse : 180000 linewidth : 17 kHz 5 x 10⁶ thermal atoms at 50 μ K temperature

2003 (together with Zimmermann group, Tübingen)

- optical lattice in high finesse optical cavity
- dispersive strong collective coupling
- dispersive bistability, optomechanical backaction, self-organization
- B. Nagorny, Th. Elsässer, A. Hemmerich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 153033 (2003).
- D. Kruse, C. von Cube, C. Zimmermann, and Ph.W. Courteille, PRL 91, 183601 (2003)

First BEC in cavity

Unidirectionally coupled ring cavity

Self-organization transition, Collective atomic recoil lasing (CARL)

S. Slama, S. Bux, G. Krenz, C. Zimmermann, and Ph. W. Courteille, PRL 98, 053603 (2007)



Hamburg BEC cavity



finesse: $F \approx 340.000$ linewidth: $\kappa / 2\pi \approx 4.5 \text{ kHz}$ Purcell factor: $\eta \equiv \frac{24}{\pi w_0^2 k^2} F \approx 44$ ⁸⁷Rb-BEC: $N_a \approx 1.2 \cdot 10^5$ light shift per photon: $\Delta_0 = 2\pi \times 0.36 \text{ Hz}$

shift of cavity resonance: $N_a \Delta_0 \approx -4 \kappa$

Two regimes of cavity dissipation



large cavity damping Berkeley, Stanford, Tübingen, Zürich, ...

 $4\omega_{\rm rec} \ll \kappa$

- → cavity field follows atomic dynamics
- → few-mode description only for negligible depletion of BEC (weak driving)



weak damping, resolved recoil Hamburg

 $4\omega_{\rm rec}$ > κ

- → cavity field and atomic dynamics on comparable time scales
- \rightarrow few-mode description well justified

Elementary scattering processes

Axial coupling:



Cavity sideband cooling. . .



Heating and cooling pulses



Heating pulse: time evolution of intra-cavity field



H. Keßler, et al., NJP 16, 053008 (2014)

$$\rho = \frac{N_{\rho}\Delta_{0}}{4\omega_{rec}} \qquad \delta_{eff} \approx 4.4 \ \kappa \quad q = \frac{N_{a}\Delta_{0}}{4\kappa} = -2.8$$

In-situ monitoring of Bloch oscillations



Radial travelling wave coupling

→ cavity controlled matter wave superradiance



Matter wave superradiance without cavity

superradiance: atoms form transient moving optical lattice





Ketterle Group, Science 285, 571 (1999)

Matter wave superradiance in recoil resolving cavity

→ controlling superradiant scattering

narrow cavity bandwidth permits selection of specific scattering process



Momentum transfer is superradiant

2E_{rec} process





H. Keßler et al., PRL, 113, 070404 (2014)





Instability boundary



H. Keßler et al., PRL, 113, 070404 (2014)





H. Keßler et al., PRL, 113, 070404 (2014)

Poster!

Superradiant atom accelerator





Superradiant atom decelerator





Radial standing wave coupling



Self-organization transition, Dicke model and beyond ...

P. Domokos and H. Ritsch, PRL 89, 253003 (2002)

Adam T. Black, Hilton W. Chan, and Vladan Vuletic, PRL 91, 203001 (2003)
Dimer, Estienne, Parkins, and Carmichael PRA 75 (2007)
D. Nagy, G. Kónya, G. Szirmai, and P. Domokos, PRL 104, 130401 (2010)
J. Keeling, M. J. Bhaseen, and B. D. Simons, PRL 105, 043001 (2010)
M. J. Bhaseen, J. Mayoh, B. D. Simons, 1 and J. Keeling, PRA 85, 013817 (2012)
K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke, T. Esslinger, Nature 464, 1301 (2010)

Radial standing wave coupling



 $ω_p > ω_c →$ matter wave superradiance $ω_p < ω_c →$ Dicke phase transition Dicke phase transition ($\omega_p < \omega_c$)

Homogeneous phase (HP)

Th: Ritsch group Exp: Esslinger group, Nature (2010)

Dicke phase (DP)



- homogeneous atomic density along cavity axis
- destructive interference prevents scattering into cavity

- stationary intra-cavity optical lattice
- constructive interference for scattering into cavity (superradiance)

Stability analysis for homogeneous phase (HP)



Observation of phase diagram



Crossing the phase boundary



Comparison to mean field calculation



Dependance of dynamical transition thresholds on the quench time



Kibble Zurek scenario

del Campo & Zurek, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29, 1430018 (2014).



$$\tau_{\mu}(\varepsilon) = \frac{\tau_{0}}{\left|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{c}\right|^{Z_{\mu}\nu_{\mu}}}$$
$$t(\varepsilon) = t_{c} + \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{c}}{\Delta\varepsilon} \tau_{Q}$$
$$\left|t(\varepsilon_{\mu})\right| = \tau_{\mu}(\varepsilon_{\mu})$$

$$Z_{\mu}v_{\mu} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_{\mu}}\right), \ \mu = 1,2$$

 $Z_{1}v_{1} = 0.75, \ Z_{2}v_{2} = 0.18$

Positive detuning: Matter wave superradiance



Crossover from bi-directional to uni-directional pumping



Mean field calculation

Experiment

Combining Dicke model and bosonic Hubbard model



J. Klinder et al., PRL, 115, 230403 (2015)

Mapping out phase diagram



- note the kink in the intra-cavity photon number as point β is passed
- note rapid loss of coherence as point β is passed

Mapping out phase diagram



J. Klinder et al., PRL, 115, 230403 (2015)

Mapping out phase diagram



The Cavity Team



Funding









THE HAMBURG CENTRE FOR ULTRAFAST IMAGING

Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien