End-to-end distribution function of stiff polymers for all persistence lengths
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We set up recursion relations for calculating all even moments of the end-to-end distance of Porod-Kratky wormlike chains in $D$ dimensions. From these moments we derive a simple analytic expression for the end-to-end distribution in three dimensions valid for all persistence lengths. It is in excellent agreement with Monte Carlo data for stiff chains and approaches the Gaussian random-walk distributions for low stiffness.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical properties of stiff polymers can be studied with the help of the Kratky-Porod wormlike chain model [1], whose properties are explained in detail in the Yamakawa’s textbooks [2,3] and in a recent article by Chirikjian and Wang [4]. Expanded possibilities of doing experiments with individual polymers using laser tweezers have led to increased theoretical interest in this model.

An important observable quantity of a stiff polymer is the end-to-end distance in three dimensions valid for all persistence lengths. It is in excellent agreement with the distribution

$$ P_L(R) = \int d^Du_0 \int d^Du_0 \int D^Du \delta(D) \left( R - \int_0^L ds u(s) \right) \times e^{-((\bar{\kappa})^2/2)[u'(s)]^2}, $$

(1.1)

where $\bar{\kappa}$ is the reduced stiffness related to the persistence length $\xi$ by

$$ \bar{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{k_BT} = (D - 1) \frac{\xi}{2}. $$

(1.2)

The unit vectors $u(s)$ are the tangent vectors of the space curve of the polymer parametrized by the length parameter $s$. A Fourier representation of the $\delta$ function brings this to the form

$$ P_L(R) \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^D \lambda}{2\pi^D} \int d^Du_0 \int d^Du_0 \int D^Du \left( u_L|u_0\rangle \langle u_0|u\right)^\lambda, $$

(1.3)

where

$$ (u_L|u_0\rangle \langle u_0|u\right)^\lambda = \int_{u(0)=u_0}^{u(L)=u_L} D^Du e^{-((\bar{\kappa})^2/2)[u'(s)]^2+\lambda u(s)}} $$

(1.4)

coincides with the Euclidean path integral of a point particle of mass $M = \bar{\kappa}$ moving on a unit sphere in an external electric field $\lambda$.

Since $u(s)$ are unit vectors, the path integral is not solvable exactly, except for zero $\lambda$. It is easy, however, to find arbitrarily high even moments of the end-to-end distance of the distribution $P_L(R)$

$$ \langle R^{2n} \rangle = \int d^DRR^{2n}P_L(R). $$

(1.5)

The $2n$th moment of the chain can be obtained directly from the expansion coefficient in powers of $\lambda$ of the integral over (1.3).

In natural units with $\bar{\kappa}=1$, the path integral (1.4) solves the Schrödinger equation in Euclidean time [2–5]

$$ \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_u + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot u + \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)(u_\tau|u_0\rangle)^\lambda = 0, $$

(1.6)

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian on a unit sphere. The external electric field $\lambda$ may be assumed to point in the $z$ direction, or the Dth direction in $D$ dimensions. In the distribution (1.3), only the integrated expression

$$ \psi(z,\tau;\lambda) = \int d^Du_0 (u_\tau|u_0\rangle)^\lambda $$

(1.7)

appears, which is a function of $\bar{\kappa}=\cos \theta$ only, where $\theta$ is the angle between $u$ and the electric field $\lambda$. The function $\psi(z,\tau;\lambda)$ satisfies the simpler differential equation

$$ \hat{H}\psi(z,\tau;\lambda) = -\frac{d}{d\tau}\psi(z,\tau;\lambda), $$

(1.8)

where

$$ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda\hat{H}_1 $$

$$ = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \bar{\kappa} $$

$$ = -\frac{1}{2} \int \left( 1 - z^2 \right) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - (D - 1) z \frac{d}{dz} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda z. $$

(1.9)

The desired moments (1.5) can be obtained from the coefficient of $\lambda^{2n}/2^{2n}(2n)!$ in the expansion of the integral over (1.7),
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\[ f(\tau;\lambda) = \int_{-1}^{1} dz \phi(z, \tau; \lambda), \quad (1.10) \]
in powers of \( \lambda \), evaluated at the Euclidean time \( \tau = L \).

**II. RECURSIVE SOLUTION OF THE SCHRODINGER EQUATION**

The function \( f(L; \lambda) \) has a spectral representation

\[ f(L; \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} dz \phi^{(0)}(z) \exp(-E^{(0)}L) \phi^{(0)}(z), \quad (2.1) \]

where \( \phi^{(0)}(z) \) are the solutions of the time-independent Schrödinger equation \( \hat{H} \phi^{(0)}(z) = E^{(0)} \phi^{(0)}(z) \). Applying the perturbation theory to this problem, we start from the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_{0} = -\Delta/2 \), which are given by the Gegenbauer polynomials \( C_{l}^{D/2-1}(z) \) with the eigenvalues \( E^{(0)}_{n} = n(1 + D - 2)/2 \) [6]. Next we set up a recursion scheme for the perturbation expansion of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions as described in [7,8]. We begin with a brief review of the method. The starting point is the usual expansion of energy eigenvalues and states in powers of the coupling constant \( \lambda \)

\[ E^{(0)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{(0)}_{j} \lambda^{j}, \quad (2.2) \]

\[ \phi^{(0)} = \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \phi^{(0)}_{l'} \lambda^{j} \phi^{(0)}_{l'}. \quad (2.3) \]

The wave functions \( \phi^{(0)}(z) \) are the scalar products \( \langle z | \phi^{(0)} \rangle \). The index \( j \) counts the order of the interaction strength \( \lambda \). The lowest expansion coefficients of the energy are of course \( \epsilon^{(0)}_{0} = E^{(0)} \). In the second line, we have introduced auxiliary normalization constants \( \alpha_{l'} \) for convenience to be fixed later. The state vectors \( |l \rangle \) of the unperturbed system are normalized to unity, but the state vectors \( |\phi^{(0)} \rangle \) of the interacting system will be normalized in such a way, that \( \langle \phi^{(0)} | l \rangle = \alpha_{l} \)

holds to all orders, implying that

\[ \gamma^{(0)}_{l,j} = \delta_{l,0}, \quad \gamma^{(0)}_{l,0} = \delta_{l,k}. \quad (2.4) \]

Inserting the above expansions into the Schrödinger equation, projecting the result onto the base vector \( \langle k | \alpha_{k} \rangle \), and extracting the coefficient of \( \lambda^{j} \), we obtain the relation

\[ \gamma^{(0)}_{l,j} \epsilon^{(0)}_{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{l} V_{k,j} \gamma^{(0)}_{l,j-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{(0)}_{l,j} \gamma^{(0)}_{j,k}. \quad (2.5) \]

where \( V_{k,j} = \lambda \langle k | l \rangle \) are the matrix elements of the interaction between unperturbed states. For \( i = 0 \), Eq. (2.5) is satisfied identically. For \( i > 0 \), it leads to the following two recursion relations, one for \( k = l \):

\[ \gamma^{(0)}_{l,l} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{(0)}_{l+n+1} W^{(l)}_{n}, \quad (2.6) \]

the other for \( k \neq l \):

\[ \gamma^{(0)}_{k,l} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \gamma^{(0)}_{k-j} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{(0)}_{k+n-1} W^{(l)}_{n}, \quad (2.7) \]

where only \( n = -1 \) and \( n = 1 \) contribute to the sums over \( n \) since

\[ W^{(l)}_{n} = \frac{\alpha_{l+n} \langle l | n+1 \rangle}{\alpha_{l}} = 0, \quad (2.8) \]

The vanishing of \( W^{(l)}_{n} \) for \( n \neq 1 \) is due to the band-diagonal form of the matrix of the interaction \( z \) in the unperturbed basis \( |l \rangle \). It is this property that makes the sums in (2.6) and (2.7) finite and leads to recursion relations with a finite number of terms for all \( \gamma^{(0)}_{k,l} \) and \( \gamma^{(0)}_{l,k} \). To calculate \( W^{(l)}_{n} \), it is convenient to express \( \langle l | l + n \rangle \) as matrix elements between unnormalized noninteracting states \( |l' \rangle \) as

\[ \langle l | l + n \rangle = \frac{\langle l | l + n \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle l | l + n \rangle \langle l + n | l + n \rangle}}. \quad (2.9) \]

where

\[ \langle l | l \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} dz C^{D/2-1}(z) F(z) C_{l}^{D/2-1}(z)(1 - z^{2})^{(D-3)/2}, \quad (2.10) \]

yielding [9]

\[ \langle l | l \rangle = \frac{2^{l+D+2} \Gamma(l+D-2) \pi}{l!(2l+D-2)!\Gamma(D/2-1)^{2}}. \quad (2.11) \]

Expanding the numerator of (2.9) with the help of the recursion relation for the Gegenbauer polynomials [10]

\[ (l + 1)|l + 1\rangle = (2l + D - 2)|l\rangle - (l + D - 3)|l - 1\rangle, \quad (2.12) \]

we find the only nonvanishing matrix elements to be

\[ \langle l + 1 | l \rangle = \frac{l + 1}{2l + D - 2} \langle l + 1 | l + 1 \rangle, \quad (2.13) \]

\[ \langle l - 1 | l \rangle = \frac{l + D - 3}{2l + D - 2} \langle l - 1 | l - 1 \rangle. \quad (2.14) \]

Inserting these together with (2.11) into (2.9) gives

\[ \langle l | l - 1 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{l(l + D - 3)}{(2l + D - 2)(2l + D - 4)}}, \quad (2.15) \]

and a corresponding result for \( \langle l | l + 1 \rangle \). We now fix the normalization constants \( \alpha_{l} \) by setting

\[ W^{(l)}_{l} = \frac{\alpha_{l+1}}{\alpha_{l}} \langle l | l + 1 \rangle = 1 \quad (2.16) \]

for all \( l \), which determines the ratios
\[ \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i+1}} = \langle |l| |l+1 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(l+1)(l+D-2)}{(2l+D)(2l+D-2)}}. \]  

(2.17)

Setting further \( \alpha_1 = 1 \), we obtain

\[ \alpha_i = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(2l+D-2)(2l+D-4)}{l(l+D-3)} \right)^{1/2}. \]  

(2.18)

Using this we find from (2.8) the remaining nonzero \( W_n^{(l)} \) for \( n = -1 \)

\[ W_n^{(l)} = \frac{l(l+D-3)(2l+D-2)(2l+D-4)}{2l+D-2}. \]  

(2.19)

We are now ready to solve the recursion relations (2.6) and (2.7) for \( \gamma_i^{(l)} \) and \( \xi_i^{(l)} \) order by order in \( i \). For the initial order \( i = 0 \), the values of the \( \gamma_i^{(l)} \) are given by Eq. (2.4). The coefficients \( \xi_i^{(l)} \) are equal to the unperturbed energies \( \xi_0^{(l)} = \xi_0 \) of \( l/(l+D-2)/2 \). For each \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), there is only a finite number of nonvanishing \( \gamma_i^{(l)} \) and \( \xi_i^{(l)} \) with \( j < i \) on the right-hand sides of (2.6) and (2.7), which allows us to calculate \( \gamma_i^{(l)} \) and \( \xi_i^{(l)} \) on the left-hand sides. In this way it is easy to find the perturbation expansions for the energy and the wave functions to high orders.

Inserting the resulting expansions (2.2) and (2.3) into Eq. (2.1), only the totally symmetric parts in \( \varphi_i^{(l)}(z) \) will survive the integration in the numerators, i.e., we may insert only

\[ \varphi_{sym}^{(l)}(z) = \langle \varphi_{sym}^{(l)} \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_i^{(l)} \lambda^i z^i. \]  

(2.20)

The denominators of (2.1) become explicitly

\[ \sum_{i'} \lambda^i \gamma_{i'}^{(l)} \xi_{i'}^{(l)} \lambda^2 \xi, \] 

where the summation over \( i' \) is limited by power of \( \lambda^2 \) up to which we want to carry the perturbation series; also \( i' \) is restricted to a finite number of terms only, because of the band-diagonal structure of the \( \gamma_i^{(l)}, \xi_i^{(l)} \).

Extracting the coefficients of the power expansion in \( \lambda \) from (2.1) we obtain all desired moments of the end-to-end distribution, the lowest two being, after reinserting \( \tilde{R} \) from (1.2),

\[ \langle R^2 \rangle = 2\xi \tilde{L} \left[ 1 - e^{-L\xi} \right]. \]  

(2.21)

\[ \langle R^3 \rangle = \frac{4(D+2)}{D} \tilde{L}^2 \xi^2 - 8L \xi \left( \frac{D^2 + 6D - 1}{D^2} - \frac{D - 7}{D + 1} e^{-L\xi} \right) \]

\[ + 4\xi^2 \left[ \frac{D^3 + 23D^2 - 7D + 1}{D^3} - \frac{(D + 5)^2}{(D + 1)^2} e^{-L\xi} \right] \]

\[ + \left( \frac{(D - 1)^5}{D^2(D + 1)^5} e^{-2DL\xi} \right). \]

The calculation of higher moments is straightforward with a MATHEMATICA program, which we have placed on the Internet in notebook form [11]. The above lowest moments agree with Ref. [12] and the three-dimensional higher moments with Refs. [13,14]. See also the related three-dimensional work in Ref. [15].

\[ \text{FIG. 1. Distribution of the end-to-end distances of polymer for different stiffnesses, parametrized by the persistence lengths } \xi = 1/400, 1/100, 1/30, 1/10, 1/5, 1/2, 1.2. \text{ They are compared with the Monte Carlo calculations of Wilhelm and Frey [16] (symbols) and with his large-stiffness one-loop perturbative results (thin curves). For the small stiffnesses } \xi = 1/400, 1/100, 1/30, \text{ the curves are well approximated by Gaussian random chain distributions on a lattice with lattice constant } a_{eff} \approx 2 \xi, \text{ which ensures that } a_{eff} \approx 2 \xi. \text{ The lowest moments } \langle R^2 \rangle = a_{eff} \tilde{L} \text{ are properly fitted (dashed curves).} \]

\[ \text{III. FROM MOMENTS TO END-TO-END DISTRIBUTION} \]

\[ \text{IND} = 3 \text{ DIMENSIONS} \]

The moments can now be used to recover the experimentally accessible end-to-end distribution of the polymers for various degrees of stiffness. We parameterize the distribution with an analytic form

\[ P_L(R) \propto \xi^{3/2} (1 - r^2)^{m}, \quad r = R/L, \]  

(3.1)

whose moments are

\[ \langle R^{2n} \rangle = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3+k+2n}{\beta} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{3+k}{\beta} + m + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3+k}{\beta} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{3+k+2n}{\beta} + m + 1 \right)}. \]  

(3.2)

We now adjust the three parameters \( k, \beta, \) and \( m \) to fit the three most important moments of the distribution exactly, ignoring all others. If the distances were distributed uniformly over the interval \( r \in [0,1] \), the moments would be \( \langle R^{2n} \rangle^{\text{flat}} = 1/(2n+2) \). Comparing our exact moments \( \langle R^{2n} \rangle \) with the flat ones, we find that \( \langle R^{2n} \rangle / \langle R^{2n} \rangle^{\text{flat}} \) has a maximum for \( n \) close to \( n_{\text{max}}(\xi) = 4 \xi \). Accordingly, we have chosen to fit \( \langle R^2 \rangle, \langle R^4 \rangle, \) and \( \langle R^6 \rangle \) for small persistence length \( \xi < 1/2 \). For \( \xi = 1/2 \) we have started with \( \langle R^6 \rangle \), for \( \xi = 1 \) with \( \langle R^8 \rangle \), and for \( \xi = 2 \) with \( \langle R^{16} \rangle \), including always the following two higher even moments. It takes MATHEMATICA [11] less than 10 s to calculate the required moments (even much higher moments such as \( \langle R^{32} \rangle \) take only 2 min).

With these adjustments the resulting distributions are shown in Fig. 1 for various persistence lengths \( \xi \). They are in excellent agreement with the Monte Carlo data (symbols) obtained by Wilhelm and Frey [16] and better than their one-loop perturbative results (thin curves), which are good only for very stiff polymers. For the small persistence lengths \( \xi = 1/400, 1/100, 1/30, \) the curves are well approximated by Gaussian random chain distributions on a lattice with lattice
constant $a_{\text{eff}} = 2\xi$, i.e., $P_L(R) \to e^{-3R^2/4L\xi}$. This ensures that the lowest moment $\langle R^2 \rangle = a_{\text{eff}} L$ is properly fitted. In fact, we can easily check that our fitting program yields for the parameters $k, \beta, m$ in the end-to-end distribution (3.1) the $\xi \to 0$ behavior: $k \to -\xi$, $\beta \to 2 + 2\xi$, $m \to 3/4\xi$, so that (3.1) tends to the correct Gaussian behavior.

In the opposite limit of large $\xi$, our fits have the limits $k \to 10\xi - 7/2$, $\beta \to 40\xi + 5$, $m \to 10$, which has no obvious analytic approach to the exact limiting behavior $P_L(R) \to (1-r)^{-5/2} e^{-1/4\xi(1-r)}$, although the distribution at $\xi = 2$ is fitted numerically extremely well.
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[13] See Eq. (3.72) and Appendix I on p. 391 in Ref. [3] where coefficients $A_{ij}^{(n)}$ of the expansion

$$\langle R^{2n} \rangle = (2\xi)^{2n} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} A_{l,k}^{(n)} (L/2\xi)^k e^{-1/4\xi (L-2L/(D-1))}\xi$$

are tabulated for $D=3$. Our Mathematica program in [11] generalizes this table to all $D$.